

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/624,690	07/22/2003	Yves-Paul Nakache	MERL-1486	7036	
7590 10/03/2006			EXAMINER		
Mitsubishi Electric Research Laboratories, Inc.			TRAN, KHAI		
Patent Departme	ent				
201 Broadway			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Cambridge, MA 02139			2611		
			DATE MAILED: 10/03/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			10
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/624,690	NAKACHE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	KHAI TRAN	2611	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed  m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Ju	ıly 2003.		
<u></u>	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, p	rosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 8</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) <u>4-7</u> is/are objected to.	r election requirement		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	<u> </u>		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acc			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		·	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority document			
2. Certified copies of the priority document			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ved in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	•	ved	
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not recei	vou.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa	• •	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa		-
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/22/2003</u> .	6)  Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Jung (U.S. Pat. 7,002,904).

Regarding claim 1, Jung discloses a method for generating FSK symbols in a communication network as shown in Figure 6, comprising steps: partitioning a plurality of complex values representing OFMD tones into a plurality of groups (a serial to parallel converter 610 for converting input data signal into a plurality of groups in parallel  $x^{(0)}$ ,  $x^{(1)}$ ,  $x^{(M-1)}$ ; assigning a distinct energy to each group of complex values (col. 6, line 63 to col. 3); applying an ODDM modulator to the plurality of complex values having the assigned distinct energies to generate FSK symbols corresponding to the plurality of groups (L-point IFFTs 620 for generating signals); transmitting the FSK symbols serially (see output from the adder 660).

Regarding claim 2, Jung discloses a single IFFT, and the distinct energies being assigned to each group according to a data stream (col. 6, line 63 to col.7, line 11).

Art Unit: 2611

Claim 8 is similar to claim 1. Therefore, claim 8 is rejected under a similar rationale.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 5. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jung (U.S. Pat. 7,002,904) in view of Kim (US 2002/0184862 A1).

Regarding claim 3, Jung fails to disclose the OFDM modulator including a plurality of IFFTs operating in parallel, and outputting of the plurality of IFFTs being selected according to a data stream.

Kim discloses a selector for selecting outputs of the IFFTs according to a data stream (see Figure 15, [0097]). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill

**Art Unit: 2611** 

in the art at the time the invention was made to select outputs of the IFFTS in the OFDM transmitter by using the selector 1565 as taught by Kim into the teachings of Jung in order to select a sub-channel data block having a minimum Peak-to-Average-Power-Ratio (PAPR).

6. Claims 4-7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

McFarland (US 2002/0006167 A1) discloses a multi-carrier communication system employing variable symbol rates and number of carrier.

Sumasu et al (US 2004/0233836 A1) disclose a multi-carrier transmission apparatus and multi-carrier transmission method.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KHAI TRAN whose telephone number is (571) 272-3019. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00AM - 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JAY PATEL can be reached on (571) 272-2988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/624,690 Page 5

Art Unit: 2611

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

KHAI TRAN

Primary Examiner

Marananth

Art Unit 2611

KT

September 28, 2006